	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/933,972	HAWKES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI	2434
All Participants:	Status of Application: finally rejected	
(1) <u>MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Won Tae C. Kim</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 February 2009	Time: <u>3 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-36		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: An interview was held to discuss potential enablement issues with the current independent claims, resulting directly from the amendment. No agreement was reached regarding the nature of the enablement issue or any potential amendments. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Michael J Simitoski/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2434	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	ntive Signature – if appropriate)